IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO: 2864 of 1996

Between:

B.Venkat Rao S/O B.Rama Rao, R/O Rasamayi, 645, Gandhi Nagar Hyderabad.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The A.P. State Consumer disputes rep by its President Redressal Commission, Hyderabad.
2 SMT. J. Balatripurasundari W/O Prasad Rao R/O 304 Manohar Apartments, Vidya Nagar, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or order or direction particularly a Writ in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring that the order dt.6.2.96 in E.P.No. 15 0f 95 in C.D.NO. 206 of 93 on the file of the state commission under consumer protection act as illegal arbitrary unreasonable unjust and non-executable.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.V.SUBRAMANYA NARASU
Counsel for the Respondent No.1: Mr. Y. Rama Rao

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

In view of the amendment to Section 27 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 (for short 'the Act'), incorporating a new provision Section 27-A of the Act, providing remedy of appeal before the State Commission, against the order impugned in this writ petition, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition with leave to avail such remedy.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn granting leave and liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies as are available to him under law.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

March 29, 2005

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

Copy to. –

1 The President, Redressal Commission, representing A.P. State Consumer disputes, Hyderabad.

Two C.D. Copies.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP {**GSNM**}*